

RSA Weaknesses - Questions

Question 1: The RSA cryptosystem can be very weak if you do not choose your primes carefully. Alice has chosen to use the public modulus $N = 400640231$. You hear (from a friend) that she has used primes that are very close to each other. Find the prime factorisation of N .

(Hint: N is too big to start your factor search from the prime 3, you must use the fact that the primes are very close to each other to find a better number to start searching from.)

Extension: Use maple to try and factor the more realistic public modulus:

$N = 120714580105450732527687012439049966078721636560924592611272025960112778327142529977981$
 $9184412315551064812103346303$, given that the two primes used have a difference of less than 5000.

(Hint: You may wish to write a program to do the search for you.)

Question 2: (i) Alice uses RSA encryption with $e = 3$ and receives the ciphertext $c = 442450728$ from Bob. Show that this particular ciphertext can easily be decrypted without even knowing Alice's value of N .

(ii) Can you explain this behaviour in general? Show that given any public key (N, e) we may easily decrypt any ciphertext c arising from a plaintext p with $p < N^{\frac{1}{e}}$ (without even having to use the value of N). Is this a major weakness of "low public exponent RSA"?

(Hint: Can we really just drop the mod N here?)

Question 3: Alice and Bob have public keys of the form (N, e_1) and (N, e_2) respectively, so that they use the same public modulus. Suppose that e_1 and e_2 are coprime. Show that we can easily decrypt any message that is sent to both Alice and Bob (if the two corresponding ciphertexts are intercepted).

Question 4 In this question we investigate a weak form of Hastad's attack on RSA. Specifically, we show that if you send the same message to e or more people with the same RSA encryption exponent e , then the plaintext can always be obtained easily from the intercepted ciphertexts.

For simplicity, consider the case $e = 3$, so that we can find three people with public keys of the form $(N_1, 3)$, $(N_2, 3)$ and $(N_3, 3)$. You may assume that the moduli N_1, N_2 and N_3 are distinct.

(i) We can use Euclid's algorithm to find $\gcd(N_1, N_2)$, $\gcd(N_1, N_3)$ and $\gcd(N_2, N_3)$. If one or more of these values is greater than 1, how would this be a big help?

(ii) We may assume now that N_1, N_2 and N_3 are pairwise coprime. Suppose the plaintext message sent to these three people is p and that the three corresponding ciphertexts c_1, c_2, c_3 are intercepted. Using the definition of RSA encryption, what congruences do p, c_1, c_2 and c_3 satisfy?

(iii) Show that this information is enough to be able to find the plaintext p . (Hint: CRT)

Extension: Generalise this argument to the case of arbitrary e , so that you have the existence of e people with public keys $(N_1, e), (N_2, e), \dots, (N_e, e)$, an unknown plaintext p that is sent to each of these people and the corresponding intercepted ciphertexts c_1, c_2, \dots, c_e .